TELLING TRUE STORIES

INCLUDING ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTIONS FROM:

DAVID HATERSIAN

SUSAN ORESAN WOODSOCKED

DERADICKERSIN

ALMA GUILLERMOPRIETO

TRACY KIDDER

GAYDANESES PHILLIPLOPATE

ADRIAN NIGOLE LOBIANO

WALCOLN GLIOWELL

A NONFICTION WRITERS' GUIDE FROM THE NIEMAN FOUNDATION AT

HARVARD UNIVERSITY



EDITED BY Mark Kramer and Wendy Call

Tom Wolfe

knife, that it had fallen like a shadow. By the time I wrote those sentences, I knew the glass had fallen like a

I am a features, investigative, or explanatory reporter: "Yes." So, perhaps I do know how to answer that question about whether

How I Get to the Point

the story. It might not be the story that I started out looking for, but it process and don't take shortcuts, I always end up with what I need for porting the story, scene, and theme. I have found that if I stick to that choosing a strong main character, thinking the story through, and reprocess that takes you from beginning to end: exhaustive researching. To report and write good narrative it is important to develop a clear

the facts, details, quotes, and scenes I was likely to include in the story. possibilities and then use just two or three. On the other pad I listed all jotting them down as I read the material. I would list as many as ten every document, letter, and note. On one pad I listed possible themes, notepads in front of me. Then I reread all the material I had collected: schedule a week to turn out the piece. I started by placing two large myself on a schedule. I would finish reporting on Wednesday and then Back when I wrote for the Washington Post Sunday Magazine, I put

sentences that captured the essence of a subject lede wouldn't be a scene, and I began to think of strong declarative tried again, and again. If no single scene came to mind, I knew that my time the strongest scene or image from all my reporting appeared first, but you come to trust that it will happen. About 80 percent of the eyes, and waited for something to come to mind. It can be scary at away all the boxes of notes. On Friday morning I sat down, closed my That scene usually ended up as my lede. If nothing came to mind, I I usually completed that process late on Thursday and then filed

tered, I finally jotted down an outline of the entire piece. stopped writing, and at that late stage, with the project clearly cena sort of nutgraf that clarifies the story for the reader. After writing the first three hundred or so words of an eight-thousand-word piece, I Once I had my lede, I moved on to the foreshadowing in the piece—

threads should I pull through the entire story? What ideas will I work with? What would make a good ending? What As I did that outline, I asked myself: What are my strongest scenes?

solid draft by the following Wednesday. I put my trust in the process, and it always allowed me to turn in a

The Emotional Core of the Story

bus, in 1960 and had just lit up the sky with Portney's Complaint in had won the National Book Award with his first book, Goodbye, Colum-Philip Roth was the hottest young novelist in America in 1970—he he's going to read in tomorrow morning's newspaper. age in which the imagination of the novelist lies helpless before what he knows 1969—when he uttered what I call Roth's Lament: We now live in an

videotape. But the rest of the novel would no doubt be about . . . the with a lower lip like a slice of mango is caught on a pornographic which would be a cool million, and she panics, and thenthen the hackers demand a 20 percent cut as their commission, and so she enlists a couple of young computer hackers to invade her extortionists, who are demanding five million dollars for the tape, have dreamed up a plot in which a beautiful young blond heiress Just think of the story of Paris Hilton. I'm sure some novelist could father's investment accounts and extract the five million dollars, but I imagine anyone, writer or otherwise, can sympathize with that

which a beautiful young blond heiress with a sly fructose smile and turn herself into a national franchise with a line of clothes, perfumes, million-dollar contract to star on a television show and goes on to no immediately detectable acting or show business ability gets a tenand handbags? And I suppose some novelist could have dreamed up a plot in

would have remained just another ripely labial random boldface millions . . . because she made the pornographic tape. Otherwise she dreamed up the actual story line, which is that Paris Hilton got her name in the gossip columns. But I don't think there is a novelist living who could have

m Wolte

century, now lives. It's cold up there. Everyone praises them because that's a lot more pleasant than visiting them. where poetry, a genre that reigned supreme until the mid-nineteenth ineffable wafts of sensibility is heading up to a snow-capped peak exactly what is happening. Instead, that precious lap dog with all its novel" is now headed for—I started to say "extinction," but that is not fool. In an age like this, to update Philip Roth, the "serious literary seer and prophet. Nine years later a bunch of terrorists nobody ever heard of cranked history back up again and made him look like a Western liberal democracy had created a utopia and was hailed as a book entitled The End of History about how all the world agreed that during tests . . . In 1992, a man named Francis Fukuyama published a would ban cell phones in the schools, thereby making it impossible for them to watch movies during classes or text-message each other cell-phone rights demonstrations protesting a new regulation that paper will soon be extinct . . . High school students in New York stage word that comes to mind to describe an age like this. . . . The news day is that fiction has to be plausible. And plausible is not the first wrote a few novels, and I can tell you that the problem with fiction to-I wrote only nonfiction for the first fifty-four years of my life, then

querading as autobiography, starting with Defoe's Robinson Crusoe, is likely to diminish the genre for long. autobiographical. Not even the occasional exposure of fiction masgreat essays, such as "Shooting an Elephant" and "Why I Write," are in Paris and London and Homage to Catalonia, as well as many of his Yet some of Orwell's own most powerful books, notably *Down and Out* mention "the humiliations that make up seventy-five percent of life." whiffs of excitement and bravado—whereas, said Orwell, they never spasms, even rape, murder, looting and pillaging, since all give off abuses, betrayals, debauches, their pelvic saddle convulsions and loin fectly comfortable retailing their sins and crimes, their swindles, drug outrageous form of fiction, because autobiographers seemed perpopularity has never waned in the 444 years since Benvenuto Cellini's now reign in American literature. One is the autobiography, whose Confessions. Orwell once characterized autobiography as the most The upshot is that two varieties of the species Nonfiction narrative

The other is nonfiction using the technical devices of the novel and the short story, the specific devices that give fiction its absorbing or gripping quality, that make the reader feel present in the scene described and even inside the skin of a particular character. They are exactly four in number: (1) scene-by-scene construction, i.e., pre-

journalism, "subjective" journalism, New Left Journalism, "participaof a Trappist vow of silence so far as the subject of New Journalism ism movement that began in the 1960s. In 1973 I took the equivalent ting the reader inside the mind of someone other than the writer. and vocabulary; (4) point of view, in the Henry Jamesian sense of putweak, to the sophisticated, to the naïve--and with what sort of accent of speech, how one talks to superiors or inferiors, to the strong, to the tions, everything from dress and furniture to the infinite status clues of status details, the details that reveal one's social rank or aspirato read and the quickest to reveal character; (3) the careful notation dialogue—the (experimentally demonstrated) easiest form of prose historical narration as little as possible; (2) the use of copious senting the narrative in a series of scenes and resorting to ordinary tory" journalism-there was no end to it. But now that thirty-three journalistic fashion. But others claimed it meant "impressionistic" was concerned. I was tired of arguing. I said it was a technical thing. Those were the devices used by writers in the so-called New Journal and magazine articles, have simply appropriated the techniques howcome. Journalists no longer argue about New Journalism---I mean, sides, in those thirty-three years there has been the best possible outthe use of those four devices in an objective, accurate, i.e., properly what I mean immediately: Michael Lewis and Mark Bowden. tion many more names, but consider just these two and you will know of contemporary American literature, taken as a whole. I could menever they please and are turning out brilliant work-in fact, the best itself "new"? Instead, a new generation of journalists, writing books how many decades can you keep arguing about something that calls years have elapsed, I suppose it's okay to offer a brief footnote. Be-

To this day newspaper editors resist the idea, but they desperately need to encourage their reporters to adopt the Lewis and Bowden approach. It is not that it produces pretty writing—although indeed it does. They need such reporters and writers to provide the emotional reality of the news, for it is the emotions, not the facts, that most engage and excite readers and in the end are the heart of most stories. Take the subject of crime, for a start. I have just learned, thanks to the Boston newspapers, that the mayor is upset because there are "gangbangers" on the streets wearing T-shirts that say STOP SNITCHING, conveying the message, "Talk to the police, and you're rat meat." The shirts are sold all over the place. The mayor wishes to confiscate them, and he seems to feel that selling them should be a crime, like selling cigarettes to a minor. In itself, that's a story—but

15

what a great story awaits the reporter who gets to know these teenagers who wear the T-shirts and finds out what that means to them—and what it means in their neighborhoods at whom the warning is presumably aimed. We report crime in our newspapers but not its emotional heart.

On Long Island, there's an epidemic of break-ins while people are in their homes. The robbers want the owners there, so they can be forced to reveal where jewelry and money are hidden. Invariably the news reports tell you how much was stolen, and perhaps what sorts of arms the assailants carried. But that's not the story. The story is fear, on the part of the victims and sometimes the assailants—or their ecstatic yodels after successfully dominating and humiliating their victims. Such are the vital facts of crime. The underlying emotions reveal so much about life, and they should be developed in journalism and not just in novels.

You need to provide readers two things in this sort of journalism: a detailed picture of the social setting and at least some insight into the psychology of the principals. I think of the setting as a horizontal plane and the individual as a vertical plane. The line created by their intersection—there lies the story. In 1808, the German philosopher Georg Wilhelm Hegel coined the term Zeitgeist—in English, "the spirit of the age." His theory was that every historical epoch has a "moral tone"—his phrase—that presses down on the life of everyone, and no one can avoid it. I think it's true, and why, in fiction or non-fiction about big cities, for example, the city should be treated as a character because cities are positively feverish with moral tone.

Francisco or New York City would risk arrest for a hate crime. New Son, Christ Jesus." Anyone who introduced an event that way in San these loyal fans. He asked this of the Lord, "in the name of Thy Only fore the race, a Protestant minister invoked the Lord's blessing on celebrity. He spoke all of forty-five seconds. The stands rose up as one the National Rifle Association-no longer Charlton Heston, not a NASCAR race. There's a little half-mile track, and grandstands going the event. He asked the Lord to look out for these brave drivers, and more civic virtue in NASCAR country than it does in Boston. Just beperson and cheered him. Obviously ownership of weapons bears a lot race, a number of people greeted the crowd, including the head of that if you lean too far forward, you'll land on the track. Before the phone. The seats are on the megaphone's inner surface and you feel up almost vertically, seating 165,000, and it's all shaped like a megaten clueless. Last August, in Tennessee, I saw the Bristol 500, a About life beyond the great cities even our best reporters are of-

York writers really must cross the Hudson River, and writers in Los Angeles really must go as far as the San Joaquin Valley. Most of the meaning of America lies in between the coasts, I'm afraid.

Recently I undertook what turned out to be a very happy task, writing an afterword for a new edition of Maggie: A Girl of the Streets, by Stephen Crane. Crane is best known as the author of The Red Badge of Courage, regarded even in Europe as the greatest portrayal in all of literature of the emotions of a soldier in combat. Crane was the twelfth of fourteen children, with six older brothers. His father was a preacher and his mother a White Ribboner. She wore a white ribbon indicating the passion of her opposition to the sale and consumption of alcohol. She could be hell on wheels, but she was always a terrific writer.

One of Crane's older brothers, Townsend, was a writer, a correspondent for the New York Tribune covering the Jersey Shore resort area. Stephen Crane, a slender, good-looking young man with tousled blond hair, had, as of 1891, been thrown out of four schools in the preceding four years. So he went to work with his brother, for the Tribune. In 1892, he covered a lecture by Jacob Riis. Riis was one of the first people to pull the covers back on conditions in American slums, in this case the Lower East Side of New York City. He exposed the conditions but never captured the speech or personalities—never got to the emotional heart. His main emotion was pity.

Stephen Crane read Jacob Riis and formed his own questions: What are they thinking? What is it like to be one of these people? Meanwhile his brother was away, and it became his chore to cover a march through Asbury Park, a New Jersey resort, of construction workers on a patriotic holiday. He described the marchers as slope-shouldered, humpbacked, slovenly drudges. The onlookers, he said, were even worse. He described them as typical Jersey Shore resort visitors, the kind of people who, when a dollar bill is held before their eyes, cease to recognize the rights of anyone else. The story got him fired.

So he went to live on the Lower East Side, rooming with three medical students. He decided he could get to know the Bowery by masquerading as a bum. Here's a slender, young, blond, almost pretty guy—but he got his Bowery bum costume together, letting those wisps of beard and long locks of hair get dirty and fall over his face. He slept in the flophouses, not once but repeatedly. He even brought visitors in to take a look. Nobody ever went back a second time. It was probably in the flophouses that he contracted the tuberculosis he died of at twenty-eight. But out of that experience came his extraordinary Maggie: A Girl of the Streets, which is fiction, but closely based on fact

One of his roommates recalled the day Crane came home highly excited saying, "Have you ever seen a stone fight?" He'd seen some urchins in pitched battle, hurling rocks. The roommates glanced at one another and rolled their eyes as if to say, "Okaaaay...a stone fight." Crane's stone fight, however, led to one of the great first lines in American literature: "A very little boy stood on a pile of gravel defending the honor of Rum Alley."

Crane worked as a newspaper writer up until the verge of his death. His accomplishments in what was truly a new journalism 110 years ago should be part of the common knowledge of all newspaper editors, especially now that every newspaper editor in the United States is asking, "How can this newspaper be saved?" They should be asking, how can we get to the emotional heart of our stories? Yet only a few newspaper editors are considering any such thing—not knowing that it is the question of the hour, and that this is the eleventh hour.

Telling the Story, Telling the Truth

When I began at the Washington Post as a reporter in Central America, I found myself working for a very professional organization, but one located inside the world's greatest military power and largest economy, which considered itself to be under threat by a ten-elevator country. I actually counted all the elevators in Nicaragua while I was living there. As a Post reporter working in Managua, I was expected to take this threat seriously and report on it.

As the locus of revolution shifted, I moved from Managua to San Salvador. I continued to report what seemed to me hard facts: massacres and mutilated bodies that appeared on San Salvador street corners at dawn. The evidence pointed to the Salvadoran government as the source of this horror. Since the United States supported the Salvadoran government in its fight against the guerrillas, that evidence was questioned in ways that sometimes made me feel as if I was losing my mind. *Post* editors repeatedly asked me to strike a neutral tone. Those editors were brave, intelligent, caring people, but the Reagan administration was setting the agenda.

Eventually, I wrote about a mass killing that turned out to be the

largest massacre of the twentieth century in the Western Hemisphere. Salvadoran soldiers, who had been trained by U.S. advisors, shot, burned alive, or hacked to death eight hundred men, women, and children. The *Post* ran the story I wrote on the front page. The *New York Times* ran a story the same day. And then *nothing*—no followup stories, no editorial, no television coverage, no stories in other newspapers. A few ultra-right-wing Reagan administration officials responded by saying that the *Times* reporter and I couldn't be trusted.

For quite a few years liberal media outlets and activists revisited the question of whether the *Times* reporter and I had been hounded out of our respective newspapers because of those articles—as if that were what mattered. Twelve years later an Argentine team of forensic anthropologists excavated the site of the El Mozote massacre. They documented the deaths, bone by bone.

The years rolled by Eventually, the U.S. government decided that maybe a ten-elevator country didn't pose such a threat, and that maybe the Salvadoran guerrillas wouldn't seem such a menace if they were allowed to participate in the political process. A decade after it all began, Central America vanished from the map. Just like that,

The Central Americans weren't any less poor. The victims hadn't come back to life. No justice had been done. Nevertheless, the U.S. public's attention was deemed exhausted on this particular subject. When was the last time you saw an article about El Salvador? And when you saw one, did you want to read it?

When Central America dropped off the U.S. media's map of the world, it was as if I had dropped into that void as well. I felt like the character from One Hundred Years of Solitude who survives a massacre of banana plantation workers. He spends the rest of his life saying, "There was this massacre," to which people respond, "You're crazy. That never happened." I never stopped being angry about that.

My driving desire as a writer is to make it impossible for the U.S. reader to ignore Latin America. I do that by telling stories. Stories are the opposite of hard news, the opposite of the easy anecdote.

While I worked for the *Post* in Central America, I was a victim of news addiction. I was always searching for the "big story." What creates news addiction? Why do people want to read newspapers and turn on the television to find out what's going on? I'd like to believe it's some basic, ethical desire to participate as a citizen of the world community. Too often, though, hard news doesn't give us the knowledge or ability to do that. Watching CNN out of the corner of one eye while answering e-mail and learning about an earthquake in Kabul or